Effect of crop rotation and tillage system on sclerotinia stem rot on soybean
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Abstract: Sclerotinia stem rot (SSR) of soybean is a major disease in the North Central region of the United States. A 3-year study was done to determine if crop rotation and tillage, moldboard plowing then mulch tillage (MP + MT), mulch tillage (MT), and no-till (NT), affected the population density of sclerotia and apothecia, incidence of SSR, and soybean yield. Crop rotation did not significantly affect the number or distribution of sclerotia or SSR incidence, but did affect the number of apothecia and the yield. The highest number of apothecia per square metre was observed in the plots in the continuous soybean rotation. Tillage affected both the number and distribution of sclerotia in the soil profile. Moldboard plowing lowered the number of sclerotia per litre of soil, compared to MT and NT plots, and buried the sclerotia deeper than 10 cm into the soil. Tillage did not affect the total number of apothecia observed, but moldboard plowing did delay emergence of apothecia compared to no-till. The MP + MT plots had the lowest disease incidence and the highest yield, while the NT plots had the highest disease incidence and the lowest yields. One year of moldboard plowing will bury sclerotia at least 10 cm in soil and delay the production of apothecia. How this affects SSR development depends on the other factors involved with disease development.
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Résumé : Le pourriture sclérotyque (PS) du soja est une maladie importante dans la région Centre Nord des États-Unis. Une étude de 3 ans a été effectuée en vue de déterminer si la rotation et le travail du sol, le labour avec une charrue à versoirs suivi de l'enfouissement (LCV + E), l'enfouissement (E) et le semis direct (SD), affectaient la densité de population de sclérotyques et d’apothécies, l’incidence du PS et le rendement du soja. La rotation n’a pas influencé significativement le nombre et la distribution des sclérotyques ou la fréquence du PS, mais a influencé le nombre d’apothécies et le rendement. Le plus grand nombre d’apothécies par mètre carré fut observé dans les parcelles à culture continue de soja. Le travail du sol a affecté le nombre et la distribution des sclérotyques dans le profil pédologique. L’utilisation de la charrue à versoirs a diminué le nombre de sclérotyques par litre de sol par rapport aux parcelles E et SD et a enfoui les sclérotyques à plus de 10 cm dans le sol. Le travail du sol n’a pas affecté le nombre total d’apothécies observées, mais le labour avec charrue à versoirs a retardé l’émergence d’apothécies par rapport au semis direct. Les parcelles LCV + E affichaient la plus faible fréquence de maladie et le plus haut rendement, alors que les parcelles SD avaient la plus haute fréquence de maladie et les plus faibles rendements. Une année de labour avec une charrue à versoirs enfournira les sclérotyques à au moins 10 cm dans le sol et retardera la production d’apothécies. Comment cela affectera le développement du PS dépend des autres facteurs du développement de la maladie.

Mots clés : pourriture sclérotyque, soja, rotation des cultures, enfouissement, labour avec charrue à versoirs, semis direct.

Introduction

Sclerotinia stem rot (SSR) of soybean (Glycine max (L.) Merr.), caused by Sclerotinia sclerotiorum (Lib.) de Bary, is a major disease in the North Central region of the United States (Chun et al. 1987; Grau et al. 1994; Grau and Bissonnette 1974; Grau and Radke 1984; Workneh and Young 2000). Sclerotinia stem rot was ranked as the second most important soybean disease in the United States in 1994 (Wrather et al. 1997) and fourth most important from
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effect was crop rotations (corn–soybean, soybean–
soybean, and continuous soybean), and the within
each of the tillage by crop rotation plots were either
soybean cultivars or corn. In 1995, all soybean plots
were planted to the ‘BSR 101’ (susceptible to SSR).
In 1996, soybean plots were planted to either ‘BSR 101’
or ‘NK S19-90’ (Syngenta Seeds, Golden Valley, Minn.)
(moderately resistant) (Dann et al. 1998). In 1997,
plots were planted to three soybean cultivars, BSR 101,
NK S19-90, and NK S21-20 (unknown resistance).
These plots were used to evaluate the effect of the
crop rotation on SSR. All soybean cultivars were planted
with a John Deere 750 no-
till drill, 19-cm row spacing, at approximately
494 000 viable
seeds per hectare. Planting dates in 1995, 1996,
and 1997 were 15 May, 21 June, and 16 May,
respectively. The
corn hybrid, Dekalb 580, was used in both
1995 and 1996.
Corn plots were planted with a John Deere 7100 planter,
76-cm row spacing, at approximately 66 000 kernels/hl.
Rainfall was collected at the Northern Illinois Agro-
my Research Center, approximately 1.5 km from the
plots.

Sclerotinia population and distribution

Twenty soil cores, 25 cm deep, were obtained from
each plot prior to planting in 1996 and 1997. The entire
soil sample was air dried, weighed, and soaked in warm
water for 10 min before washing through a No. 18
screen (1-mm pore size). All debris were washed into a
plastic container and allowed to dry. The sclerotia
were manually removed from the debris and counted.
To determine the vertical distribution of sclerotia, an
additional 25 cores of soil from each plot were collected
in 1997 and divided into depths of 0–2.5, 2.5–10, and
10–25 cm. Sclerotia were extracted from the subsamples
of soil as previously described. All sclerotia
were surface sterilized with NaOCl (0.53%) for 2 min
and placed on potato dextrose agar to test viability.
Sclerotia were considered viable if they produced mycelia
and additional sclerotia of S. sclerotiorum.

Aphothecia counts

Aphothecia were counted in two randomly selected
1-m²
locations within each plot. Multiple aphothecia from
the same sclerotium were counted individually. Aphothecia
were counted on 15 August in 1996, when the soybean
plants were at the R4 to R5 growth stage. In 1997,
aphothecia were counted on 23 July (R3 to R4 growth
stage), 6 August (R5 growth stage), and 21 August (R6
growth stage).

Sclerotinia stem rot ratings

The incidence of SSR was assessed on 22 August 1995,
and 1996, disease was assessed by visible estimation
in the center of each plot, while SSR was assessed in
1997 by counting the total number of plants and the
disease of plants in two randomly selected
1-m²
locations within
each plot. Plants were considered diseased if mycelia
and/or sclerotia were present in or on the stem and
lateral branches.

Seed yield

Plots were mechanically harvested on 14, 21, and 2 Octo-
ber in 1995, 1996, and 1997, respectively. The area harvested

Materials and methods

Plots were established in a commercial field near
the Northern Illinois Agronomy Research Center,
Dekalb, Ill., which had an epidemic of SSR in 1994.
The distribution of
SSR in the field in 1994 was unknown. The field
soil was a
silt loam with an organic matter content of 4.5%.
The field
had been cropped previously in a corn–soybean rotation
and tillage was normally conducted using a spring chisel
plow.
Two management practices, crop rotation and
tillage, were examined in a strip-plot arrangement with cultivars
randomized
within each subplot. There were four replications.
One main effect was the tillage treatments (no-till
plowing followed by mulch tillage (MP + MT), mulch
tillage (MT), and no-till (NT)). In the fall of 1994,
MP + MT plots were plowed to a depth of 20–25 cm. The
MP + MT plots were not plowed again during this study.
In the spring of 1995, 1996, and 1997, the MP + MT plots
were tilled with a John
Deere model 714 mulch
tiller to a depth of 12–15 cm prior
to planting. The MT plots were tilled with the
mulch tiller in
the spring prior to planting in all three years. The NT
plots received no tillage prior to planting. The second main

first reported SSR in Illinois in 1946 and it has since been
reported in various regions in
northern Illinois. In
1996, an epidemic was reported in eastern Illinois (Hartman
et al. 1998; Hoffman et al. 1998).

Conditions that affect growth of S. sclerotiorum include
soil moisture (Grau et al. 1994; Weiss et al. 1980),
70–
120 h of continuous plant surface wetness (Boland and Hall
1988a; Chun et al. 1987; Grau and Radke 1984; Grau et al.
1994), and cool canopy temperatures associated with early
canopy closure (Abawi and Grogan 1975; Boland and Hall
1988a; Grau et al. 1994; Weiss et al. 1980). Soilborne
sclerotia need cool and wet soil conditions to germinate and
produce apothecia, which produce ascospores that germin-
ate in extended wet conditions. Ascospores use the flower
petals as a source of nutrients and produce mycelia (Abawi
and Grogan 1975; Boland and Hall 1988a). Cool and wet
conditions are conducive for mycelia to colonize senescing
flowers and spread to lateral branches and the main stem
(Abawi and Grogan 1975; Caesar and Pearson 1983;
Tanrikut and Vaughan 1951).

Sclerotia are known to survive in the soil for 3 to 5 years
(McLean 1958; Schwartz and Steadman 1978). So current
crop rotation systems in Illinois (corn–soybean) appear to
have minimal effect on SSR (Adams 1975; Kerle et al.
2001; Schwartz and Steadman 1978). However, soybean
growers have changed many cultural practices to maximize yields
and meet conservation mandates, e.g., early planting dates,
reduced row spacing, higher plant populations, and reduced
tillage. Reduced tillage practices directly influence soil moisture
and soil temperature (Sumner et al. 1981). For example,
o-
till soils tend to be cooler and wetter at planting, often
resulting in reduced emergence and lower final plant stands
(Oplinger and Philbrook 1992; Unger and McCalla 1980).

The objectives of this study were to investigate the effect
of crop rotation and three tillage systems on the number and
distribution of sclerotia in the soil and subsequent effects
on apothecia development, SSR incidence, and soybean yield.
Table 1. Analyses of variance for disease incidence of sclerotinia stem rot on soybean and seed yield in 1997.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variation</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean square*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disease incidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replicate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tillage</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.510*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replicate × tillage</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotation</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replicate × rotation</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotation × tillage</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultivar</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.236*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultivar × rotation</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultivar × tillage</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultivar × rotation × tillage</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual error</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Cultivars used were NK S21-20, BSR 101, and NK S19-90.
*Replicate × tillage was the error term used to test the main effect of tillage. Replicate × rotation was the error term for the main effect of rotation. The other terms were tested using residual error.
*P value significant at P = 0.01.

Table 2. Effect of crop rotation on density of sclerotia and apothecia of Sclerotinia sclerotiorum, incidence of sclerotinia stem rot (SSR), and yield of soybean in 1996 and 1997, combined across all tillage systems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Crop rotation</th>
<th>Density of sclerotia in soil (L⁻¹)</th>
<th>Total no. of apothecia (m⁻²)</th>
<th>SSR incidence (%)</th>
<th>Yield (kg ha⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>Soybean–soybean</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>2050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Soybean–corn</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Corn–corn</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LSD₉₅⁰</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>Soybean–soybean–soybean</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>3790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Soybean–corn–soybean</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>3720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Corn–corn–soybean</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>3750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LSD₉₅⁰</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Tillages systems were no-till, mulch tillage, and moldboard plow. ns, not significant.
*Apothecia numbers are the average of three dates: 23 July and 6 and 21 August 1997.
*Sclerotia stem rot ratings were taken on 18 September 1996 and 22 September 1997. Incidence was calculated by counting the total number of plants and the number of diseased plants in two randomly selected 1-m² locations within each plot.

per plot each year was 1.5 × 21 m. Seed moisture was calculated and seed masses were adjusted to 13% moisture.

Statistical analysis

Sclerotial and apothecial counts, SSR incidence, and seed yield values were analyzed using PROC GLM (SAS Institute Inc. 1996) to perform an ANOVA. Replicate × rotation was the error term used to test the main effect of crop rotation and replicate × tillage was the error term used to test the main effect of tillage. The other terms were tested by residual error. Pearson's correlation (PROC CORR) was used to analyze the relationship between sclerotia and apothecia counts, SSR incidence, and yield.

Results

There were no significant interactions between tillage and crop rotation for number of sclerotia or apothecia, incidence of SSR, or yield (Table 1) for any year, therefore only main effects were presented. Also, over 99% of the sclerotia were viable, so only the number of viable sclerotia were analyzed and presented.

Cultivar and crop rotation

In 1995 and 1996, there was less than 1% incidence of SSR on plants in all plots (Table 2). However, in 1997 there was a significant difference among the three soybean cultivars for disease incidence (Table 1). 'NK S21-20' had the highest incidence of SSR at 17.2%, while 'BSR 101' and 'NK S19-90' had 8.3 and 8.1%, respectively (data not shown). Since the cultivar × tillage and cultivar × crop rotation interactions were not significant, values for number of sclerotia, number of apothecia, SSR incidence, and yield with respect to crop rotation and tillage were averaged across the three cultivars.

Crop rotation did not affect the number of sclerotia or apothecia, incidence of SSR, or yield in 1996 (Table 2). However, crop rotation did affect the number of apothecia in 1997. The highest number of apothecia per square metre was observed in the continuous soybean plots, compared to
Table 3. Effect of tillage management on density of sclerotia and apothecia of Sclerotinia sclerotiorum, incidence of sclerotinia stem rot (SSR), and yield of soybean in 1995, 1996, and 1997, combined across all crop rotations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Tillage system</th>
<th>Density of sclerotia in soil (L⁻¹)</th>
<th>Total no. of apothecia (m⁻²)</th>
<th>SSR incidence (%)</th>
<th>Yield (kg ha⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>No-till</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>3180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mulch tillage</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>4150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moldboard plow</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>4300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LSD₀.₀₅</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>No-till</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>2060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mulch tillage</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>2100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moldboard plow</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>No-till</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>3790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mulch tillage</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>3776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moldboard plow</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>3790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LSD₀.₀₅</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Moldboard plow was performed in the fall of 1994 and mulch tillage in the spring of 1995, 1996, and 1997. ns, not significant.

* Apothecia numbers are the average of three dates: 23 July and 6 and 21 August 1997.

** Sclerotinia stem rot ratings were taken on 22 August 1995, 18 September 1996, and 22 September 1997. Incidence was calculated by counting the total number of plants and the number of diseased plants in two randomly selected 1-m² locations within each plot.

Data were not collected on sclerotia and apothecia in 1995.

Table 4. Distribution of sclerotia of Sclerotinia sclerotiorum in soil from three tillage systems in 1997, combined across all crop rotations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tillage depth (cm):</th>
<th>Number of sclerotia in soil (L⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-2.5</td>
<td>2.5-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No-till</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mulch tillage</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moldboard plow*</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD₀.₀₅</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Moldboard plow was performed in the fall of 1994 and mulch tillage in the spring of 1995, 1996, and 1997.

The soybean-corn-soybean and corn-corn-soybean crop rotations.

Tillage

There was no effect of tillage on the number of sclerotia in 1996; however, there was a significant effect (P < 0.05) of tillage on the number of sclerotia in 1997. The MP + MT plots had the lowest number of sclerotia with 2.3 L⁻¹ soil, while the MT and NT plots had 3.7 and 3.6 L⁻¹, respectively (Table 3). There also was a significant effect on the vertical distribution of sclerotia in the soil profile (Table 4). MT plots had the highest number of sclerotia in the highest tier of soil: NT and MT plots had higher number of sclerotia in the middle tier of soil than MP + MT; and MP + MT plots had the lowest sclerotia in the lowest tier of soil (Table 4). However, the number of sclerotia was not significantly correlated with the number of apothecia, disease incidence, and yield.

In 1996, there was a significant effect of tillage on the number of apothecia (Table 3). The lowest number observed was in the MP + MT plots, with 2.5 apothecia/m². No-till and MT plots had respectively 5.5 and 9.5 apothecia/m². There was no significant effect of tillage on total apothecia number in 1997; however, there were differences in apothecia numbers on individual sampling dates. More apotheciae were observed in the NT plots on the earliest sampling date (23 July) compared to the MT and MP + MT plots (Fig. 1). On 6 August, there was no significant difference among the tillage systems. On 21 August, the highest apothecial counts per square metre were in the MP + MT plots and the lowest apothecial counts were in the NT plots (Fig. 1). Tillage had a significant effect on yield in 1995 and on disease incidence in 1997 (Table 1). In 1995 and 1996, incidence of SSR was less than 1% in all plots. In 1995, moldboard plowed plots had the highest yields and NT plots had the lowest yields. In 1996, soybean yields were low for all tillage treatments. In 1997, MP + MT plots had the lowest disease incidence (5.2%), while NT plots had the highest (15.9%) (Table 3); however, yield and disease incidence were not significantly correlated. Disease incidence was positively correlated to apothecia counted on 6 August (r = 0.39, P < 0.05) but not on 23 July and 21 August.

Discussion

Because of low levels of disease throughout the study, conclusions on the effect of tillage and crop rotation on SSR incidence and its subsequent effect on yield may not be as important as the effect of tillage and crop rotation on sclerotia populations and apothecia development. It is not uncommon for SSR to exceed 40% in a field and to approach 100% in individual plots (Hartman et al. 1998; Hoffman et al. 1998; Kurl et al. 2001). The most disease in this study was an average of 11.2% in 1997.

Previous studies have shown that crop rotations with corn or oat did not reduce sclerotal populations (Adams 1975; Kurl et al. 2001; Schwartz and Steadman 1978) or apothecial numbers (Kurl et al. 2001). In our study, there were no differences among the three cropping sequences for SSR incidence or yield. The additional year of corn should have, in theory, allowed many of the sclerotia to germinate and (or) degrade, but there were still enough sclerotia present in the
Fig. 1. Number of apothecia (m\(^{-2}\)) from three tillage systems in 1997. NT, no-ill; MT, mulch tillage; and MP + MT, moldboard plow followed by mulch tillage. Bars headed by same letter do not differ significantly (P < 0.05).

Fig. 2. Daily rainfall (cm) for Dekalb, Illinois, from 1 June to 31 August 1996 and 1997.

soil in 1997 to germinate and produce apothecia. Crop rotation may not affect SSR because sclerotia can survive and remain viable for 3 to 5 years in the soil (McLean 1958; Schwartz and Steadman 1978) and there are often alternate hosts, especially weed species found in nonhost crops (Boland and Hall 1994).

Heavy rains in late May and early June in 1996 (Fig. 2) delayed planting until 21 June. This led to poor canopy closure during flowering, which is one important condition necessary for apothecial and disease development (Abawi and Grogan 1975; Boland and Hall 1988b; Grau et al. 1994; Weiss et al. 1980). Apothecia were found in 1996, but not until the very end of flowering. This may explain why there was less than 1% incidence of SSR in all the plots even in the presence of apothecia. In 1997, adequate early season rain caused a dense canopy to develop, but there were no timely rains throughout flowering except near the end (9 August through 16 August). Thus, there was only moder-
ate disease development, with an average of 11.2% in the entire field. At no time during the study did disease levels approach the epidemic levels of disease of 1994.

The website Crop diseases in corn, soybean, and wheat of Purdue University, Department of Botany and Plant Pathology (2001) recommends "deep plowing to bury sclerotia, then working soil shallow for 5+ years to keep sclerotia below soil surface" for control of SSR. In this study, the MP + MT tillage system was similar to Purdue's recommendation for control of SSR. Plowing has been reported to reduce apothecia number compared with surface cultivation in rapeseed (Williams and Stelfox 1980); however, the effect was not maintained the following year. Previous reports also have indicated that plowing was not an effective method in reducing the incidence of disease caused by Sclerotinia spp. (Kurle et al. 2001; Steadman 1983; Subbarao et al. 1996). In Wisconsin, moldboard-plowed plots were tilled every year, allowing a mixing of the soil and sclerotia throughout the soil profile (Kurle et al. 2001). In our study, sclerotia were buried by plowing in the first year, but were subsequently kept below 10 cm of soil by shallow tillage. The lower disease incidence in MP + MT plots in 1997 may have occurred because moldboard plowing reduced sclerotal levels in the upper 10 cm of soil and MT kept sclerotia from reaching the upper soil profile. In addition, several reports have shown that burying sclerotia reduces their survival (Imolehin and Grogan 1980; Merriman 1976, Merriman et al. 1979).

Burying sclerotia may also lower or delay the development of apothecia. Buried sclerotia may produce stipes, but form fewer apothecia because stipes need sunlight to mature into an apothecium. Most of the apothecia that emerged from buried sclerotia in the MP + MT plots did so after flowering in 1997, which ended mid-August. Sclerotia need saturated or near-saturated soil to produce apothecia (Abawi and Grogan 1975). Soil moisture retention is greater in no-till than mulch-tilled soils, so the small amount of rainfall in July 1997 (Fig. 2) may have provided enough moisture in the no-till plots to allow for more apothecial production. The drier soils in the MF + MT and MT plots may have needed the heavy rains in mid-August (Fig. 2) before sclerotia could germinate to form apothecia. Sclerotia in the NT soil were either closer to the surface or the moisture level was more conducive for apothecial development earlier in the season.

Workneh and Yang (2000) observed less SSR in soybean in no-till fields than minimum-till and conventional-till fields. They suggested that there were less disease in no-till soils because of higher microbial activity leading to sclerotia degradation. In fact, over 30 species of fungi and bacteria have been implicated by various workers as antagonists or mycorparasites of Sclerotinia spp. (Adams and Ayers 1979). Our study would support that observation, as fewer sclerotia were found in the upper 2.5 cm of soil in the no-till plots compared to the mulch-tilled plots. However, the sclerotia that were still present produced apothecia early in the season (Fig. 1), leading to a small increase in SSR incidence relative to the MT (Table 3).

Sporadic occurrence of SSR in soybean may be due to the sensitivity of S. sclerotiorum to environmental factors (Pennypacker and Risius 1999). Many times SSR can be found at a location, and no trace of it in the following years. The window of infection for SSR is rather limited and dependent on the timing of favorable weather conditions, host flowers, and ascospore showers. In the studied field, there was an epidemic in 1994. In 1995 and 1996, there was less than 1% SSR incidence, although sclerotia were found in most of the plots in 1996. This makes it hard to identify if no-till, mulch tillage, or moldboard plowing will affect SSR in individual fields. It does appear that 1 year of moldboard plowing will bury sclerotia at least 10 cm in soil and delay the production of apothecia. How this affects SSR development depends on the other factors involved with disease development.
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